

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

V.

JOHN MACCOLL

Defendant.

Case:2:18-cr-20551 Judge: Friedman, Bernard A. MJ: Whalen, R. Steven Filed: 08-09-2018 At 11:54 AM INFO USA v. MacCOLL (EK)

INFORMATION

THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY CHARGES:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

At all times relevant to this Information:

- UBS Financial Services (UBS) based in Birmingham, Michigan is a branch of UBS Investment Bank, a global firm that provides its clients with advice, expertise, and opportunities needed to protect and grow their wealth.
- 2. Defendant John Maccoll was employed by UBS as a Financial Advisor in its Birmingham, Michigan office. As such, Maccoll had continuous direct contact with UBS clients who desired to invest their assets with the firm.
- 3. All dates in this Information are alleged to have occurred "on or about" the date stated.

COUNT ONE 18 U.S.C. § 1343 (Wire Fraud)

- 4. The allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 to 3 of this Information are incorporated herein as if set forth in full.
- 5. From April 2010 until March 2018, in the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division, defendant John Maccoll devised and executed a scheme and artifice to defraud and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses and representations from multiple USB clients, and for the purpose of executing and attempting to execute the scheme did transmit and cause to be transmitted by means of wire communications in interstate commerce, writings, signs, signals and sounds, as follows.
- 6. Defendant John Maccoll advised some of his clients at UBS to wire transfer money or write checks to his personal accounts in order to take advantage of investment vehicles which were not available through UBS. Subsequently, Maccoll would falsely tell the clients who sent money to his personal accounts that the funds they "invested" in were performing well and that they should send more money.
- 7. Instead of investing the clients' funds sent to his personal account, Maccoll converted these funds to his personal use.

- 8. When clients pressed Maccoll for documentation regarding these nonexistent investments, he sent them fraudulent statements which he created.
- 9. To further his scheme, Maccoll conducted the majority of telephone calls to the defrauded clients on his personal cell phone, so that there was no way for UBS to monitor his activities.
- 10. More than a dozen individuals who sought advice from Maccoll through UBS became victims of his scheme. It is estimated that these victims lost over \$3,700,000 during the period from April 2010 until March 2018.
- 11. The check deposits and bank wires to Maccoll's personal accounts, as well as his communication with his clients in furtherance of the above scheme, transmitted and caused to be transmitted by means of wire communications in interstate commerce, writings, signs, signals and sounds.
- 12. All in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343.

Forfeiture Allegations

13. Pursuant to Fed.R.Cr.P. 32.2(a), the government hereby provides notice to the defendant of its intention to seek forfeiture of all proceeds, direct or indirect, or property traceable thereto; all property that facilitated the commission of the violations alleged, or property traceable thereto; and all property involved in, or property traceable thereto, of the violations set forth in this Information.

Matthew Schneider United States Attorney

つJohn K.]

Assistant U.S. Attorney

Chief, White Collar Crime Unit

Abed Hammoud

Assistant U.S. Attorney

Dated: August 8, 2018



Eastern District of Michigan	Criminal Case Co	Judge: Friedman, Bernard A.	
NOTE: It is the responsibility of the Assistant U	.S. Attorney signing this form to co	^{mpl} Filed: 08-0	en, R. Steven 09-2018 At 11:54 AM A v. MacCOLL (EK)
Companion Case Informat	ion	Companion Case Number:	
This may be a companion case based upon LCrR 57.10 (b)(4)1:		Judge Assigned:	
☐ Yes ⊠ No		AUSA's Initials:	
Case Title: USA v. John I	MacColl		
County where offense oc	curred : Oakland		·
Check One: ⊠Felony □		sdemeanor	
	·		case number: nplete Superseding section below].
Superseding to Case No:		Judge:	
Involves, for plea purp	ditional charges or defenda poses, different charges or a ect matter but adds the addit	dds counts.	or charges below:
Defendant name	<u>Cha</u>	rges	Prior Complaint (if applicable)
Please take notice that the belothe above captioned case.	ow listed Assistant Unite	ed States Atto	rney is the attorney of record for
8-9-18	4	In W	
Date	211 W. For Detroit, MI Phone:(31)	moud hited States Attor t Street, Suite 2 48226-3277 3) 226-9524 3) 226-9678	•

E-Mail address: Abed.Hammoud@usdoj.gov Attorney Bar #: P54908

¹ Companion cases are matters in which it appears that (1) substantially similar evidence will be offered at trial, or (2) the same or related parties are present, and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence. Cases may be companion cases even though one of them may have already been terminated.